The issue	The science, etc	The implications
Big floods; small floods;	Plot scale impacts of 'natural' interventions are not	What seems to work does not
big areas; small	replicated in large catchments. Small floods can be	have general applicability
areas	influenced by land management and similar	
	measures, but in big floods the catchments are	
	generally saturated and land cover has negligible	
	effect.	

The issue	The science, etc	The implications
Big floods; small floods;	Plot scale impacts of 'natural' interventions are not	What seems to work does not
big areas; small	replicated in large catchments. Small floods can be	have general applicability
areas	influenced by land management and similar	
	measures, but in big floods the catchments are	
	generally saturated and land cover has negligible effect.	
Antecedent conditions	The antecedent conditions appear to dominate as	These largely cannot be influence
	drivers of flood risk and losses.(e.g. 1947; 2007).	by 'natural' FRM interventions

The issue	The science, etc	The implications
Big floods; small floods; big areas; small areas	Plot scale impacts of 'natural' interventions are not replicated in large catchments. Small floods can be influenced by land management and similar measures, but in big floods the catchments are generally saturated and land cover has negligible effect.	What <u>seems</u> to work does not have general applicability
Antecedent conditions	The antecedent conditions appear to dominate as drivers of flood risk and losses (e.g. 1947; 2007).	These largely cannot be influence by 'natural' FRM interventions
The heritage	Most major rivers in the UK have considerable FRM interventions, so only the bigger events come out of bank (e.g. the Thames is out of bank only at the c. 10-15 year return period).	The interventions that may attenuate small floods mean that these do not cause much damage anyway.

The issue	The science, etc	The implications
Big floods; small floods; big areas; small areas	Plot scale impacts of 'natural' interventions are not replicated in large catchments. Small floods can be influenced by land management and similar measures, but in big floods the catchments are generally saturated and land cover has negligible effect.	What <u>seems</u> to work does not have general applicability
Antecedent conditions	The antecedent conditions appear to dominate as drivers of flood risk and losses (e.g. 1947; 2007).	These largely cannot be influence by 'natural' FRM interventions
The heritage	Most major rivers in the UK have considerable FRM interventions, so only the bigger events come out of bank (e.g. the Thames is out of bank only at the c. 10-15 year return period).	The interventions that may attenuate small floods mean that these do not cause much damage anyway.
Coastal flood risk	This is important, and the forces involved are orders of magnitude greater than fluvial flood situations (e.g. East Coast 1953; Towyn)	Very little 'natural interventions' can cope with flooding from the sea (big beaches are often far from natural)

The issue	The science, etc	The implications
Big floods; small floods; big areas; small areas	Plot scale impacts of 'natural' interventions are not replicated in large catchments. Small floods can be influenced by land management and similar measures, but in big floods the catchments are generally saturated and land cover has negligible effect.	What <u>seems</u> to work does not have general applicability
Antecedent conditions	The antecedent conditions appear to dominate as drivers of flood risk and losses (e.g. 1947; 2007).	These largely cannot be influence by 'natural' FRM interventions
The heritage	Most major rivers in the UK have considerable FRM interventions, so only the bigger events come out of bank (e.g. the Thames is out of bank only at the c. 10-15 year return period).	The interventions that may attenuate small floods mean that these do not cause much damage anyway.
Coastal flood risk	This is important, and the forces involved are orders of magnitude greater than fluvial flood situations (e.g. East Coast 1953; Towyn)	Very little 'natural interventions' can cope with flooding from the sea (big beaches are often far from natural)
Urban/flash flooding	Rapid runoff here - over paved surfaces - appears to becoming more common (Camden Town; Boscastle)	Retro-fitting 'natural defences' (even as SUDS) is unlikely to affect anything but the more minor events.

The issue	The science, etc	The implications
Big floods; small floods;	Plot scale impacts of 'natural' interventions are not	What seems to work does not
big areas; small	replicated in large catchments. Small floods can be	have general applicability
areas	influenced by land management and similar	
	measures, but in big floods the catchments are	
	generally saturated and land cover has negligible effect.	
Antecedent conditions	The antecedent conditions appear to dominate as	These largely cannot be influence
	drivers of flood risk and losses (e.g. 1947; 2007).	by 'natural' FRM interventions
The heritage	Most major rivers in the UK have considerable FRM	The interventions that may
	interventions, so only the bigger events come out of	attenuate small floods mean that
	bank (e.g. the Thames is out of bank only at the c.	these do not cause much damage
	10-15 year return period).	anyway.
Coastal flood risk	This is important, and the forces involved are orders of magnitude greater than fluvial flood situations (e.g. East Coast 1953; Towyn)	Very little 'natural interventions' can cope with flooding from the sea (big beaches are often far from natural)
Urban/flash flooding	Rapid runoff here - over paved surfaces - appears to becoming more common (Camden Town; Boscastle)	Retro-fitting 'natural defences' (even as SUDS) is unlikely to affect anything but the more minor events.
Cost (and gain)	High dispersed 'natural defences' will require	There are large economies of
	interventions (not just neglect) and management, and this is likely to be very expensive.	scale in FRM interventions.

The issue	The science, etc	The implications
Big floods; small floods;	Plot scale impacts of 'natural' interventions are not	What <u>seems</u> to work does not
big areas; small	replicated in large catchments. Small floods can be	have general applicability
areas	influenced by land management and similar	
	measures, but in big floods the catchments are	
	generally saturated and land cover has negligible	i i i
	measures, but in big floods the catchments are generally saturated and land cover has negligible effect. The antecedent conditions appear to dominate addrivers of flood risk and losses (e.g. 1947) Most major rivers in the conditions appear to dominate addrivers of flood risk and losses (e.g. 1947) Most major rivers in the forces involved are orders and the forces involved are orders are greater than fluvial flood situations e.g. East Coast 1953; Towyn)	oful, but
Antecedent conditions	The antecedent conditions appear to dominate ac-	ience
	drivers of flood risk and losses (e.g. 1947	ccient) rentions
ml l c	conces	sufficie
The heritage	Most major rivers in the defermance in the	interventions that may
	interventional, floor where	attenuate small floods mean that
	pand tural car anyward the c.	these do not cause much damage
·on	. Nate cea (01	anyway.
Coastala	the forces involved are orders	Very little 'natural interventions'
Concre	a Primate greater than fluvial flood situations	can cope with flooding from the
re halus	g. East Coast 1953; Towyn)	sea (big beaches are often far
are	The antecedent conditions appear to dominate as drivers of flood risk and losses (e.g. 1947 Most major rivers in the intervention of flood defences may have at the c. Natural or anywhere near intervention and the forces involved are orders a panacea (or anywhere involved are orders a panacea (or anywhere near intervention). The antecedent conditions appear to dominate as drivers of flood risk and losses (e.g. 1947 Most major rivers in the flood defences may have at the c. Natural or anywhere near intervention and the forces involved are orders are greater than fluvial flood situations The antecedent conditions appear to dominate as drivers in the conditions in the forces involved are orders are greater than fluvial flood situations.	from natural)
Urban/flash flooding	Rapid runoff here - over paved surfaces - appears to	Retro-fitting 'natural defences'
orbany nash nooding	becoming more common (Camden Town; Boscastle)	(even as SUDS) is unlikely to
	gerenning more common (camaen 10 mi, 2000abrie)	affect anything but the more
		minor events.
Cost (and gain)	High dispersed 'natural defences' will require	There are large economies of
	interventions (not just neglect) and management,	scale in FRM interventions.
	and this is likely to be very expensive.	